Ca	se 2:11-cv-10118-GHK-E Document 1 File	ed 12/06/11 Page 1 of 14 Page ID #:1
		FILED
	LINDA M. BURROW, State Bar No. 194 burrow@caldwell-leslie.com TINA WONG, State Bar No. 250214 wong@caldwell-leslie.com 1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600 Los Angeles, California 90017-2463 Telephone: (213) 629-9040	2011 DEC -6 PM 3: 39 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES
6 7	PRODUCTIONS INC., and HORIZON	
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9 10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
11 12 13	NZK PRODUCTIONS INC., a California corporation, and HORIZON ALTERNATIVE TELEVISION INC., a	Case CV11 10118 - GHK COMPLAINT FOR:
14		(1) INTENTIONAL
15	•	INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS; AND
16 17	STEPHEN CARBONE, an individual, REALITY STEVE, LLC, a Texas limited liability corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	(2) UNFAIR COMPETITION, CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200 et seq.
18 19	Defendants.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
. 25		
26		
27		
28 CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR		COMPLAINT
	11	

4

5

7

8

9

11

12 13

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

26

28

CALDWELL LESLIE &

Plaintiffs NZK Productions Inc. and Horizon Alternative Television Inc. (collectively "Plaintiffs") hereby bring this Complaint against Defendants Stephen Carbone, Reality Steve, LLC, and Does 1 through 10, inclusive, alleging as follows:

PARTIES

- NZK Productions Inc. ("NZK") is a California corporation with its 1. principal place of business in Burbank, California.
- Horizon Alternative Television Inc. ("Horizon") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Burbank, California.
- Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that 3. Reality Steve, LLC is a Texas limited liability corporation that owns and operates a website at www.realitysteve.com that is accessible from California and, with respect to certain of its content, expressly directed to California residents.
- Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that 4. Defendant Stephen Carbone is an individual residing in the state of Texas. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and on that basis allege that, at all times relevant, Carbone is and was a member and an agent of Reality Steve, LLC and that, in doing the things alleged herein, Carbone was acting within the course and scope of his agency and with the knowledge, permission and consent of Reality Steve, LLC. Carbone and Reality Steve, LLC are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants".
- The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, 5. partnership, associate, or otherwise, of the Defendants named herein as Does 1-10, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore are suing these Defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Plaintiffs allege on information and belief that each of these fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the facts alleged herein. Plaintiffs allege on information and belief that each of the Defendants named herein, including the Doe Defendants, acted jointly, in concert, and with knowledge of the actions of each of

the other Defendants; acted as the agent and servant of each and every one of the other Defendants with respect to the actions complained of herein; acted at all times within the course and scope of said agency; and that each is equally liable for the acts alleged below.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Diversity of citizenship exists between Plaintiffs and Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and the matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees, \$75,000.
- 7. Venue in this district is appropriate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that Defendants purposefully directed their wrongful conduct at Plaintiffs, whom Defendants know to be residents of this state, and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the causes of action alleged herein arose in this district.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. NZK and Horizon are the producer and distributor, respectively, of the highly successful elimination-style reality television shows entitled, "The Bachelor," "The Bachelorette," and "Bachelor Pad" (collectively the "Bachelor Series"). All three shows air on the ABC network.
- 9. "The Bachelor" debuted in 2002. Each season of The Bachelor revolves around a single bachelor and a group of approximately 25 women vying for his affections, all of whom live together in the same house. Over the course of the season, the women are eliminated in a "rose ceremony." At the end of each season, the bachelor selects a winner who receives the final rose, and in many cases, the bachelor proposes marriage to the winning woman.
- 10. "The Bachelorette" and "Bachelor Pad" are spin-offs of "The Bachelor," and operate on a similar, elimination-style premise.
- 11. All past, current and future participants in the Bachelor Series sign contracts with Plaintiffs that require them to maintain the confidentiality of all events that transpire on the Bachelor Series episodes prior their broadcast. Each

member of the Bachelor Series' cast and crew, as well as certain other employees, also sign contracts with confidentiality clauses that prevent them from divulging non-public information about any aspect of the episodes prior to their broadcast.

- 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendant Reality Steve, LLC owns and operates the for-profit website at www.realitysteve.com. This website, entitled RealitySteve, regularly publishes updates and spoilers regarding several reality television shows, including primarily, the Bachelor Series. These updates and spoilers include non-public information regarding events that transpired on the Bachelor Series episodes prior to their broadcast.
- Defendants obtain confidential information about the Bachelor Series by contacting and soliciting information from participants, cast, crew and/or other employees of the Bachelor Series and by inducing them to breach their confidentiality obligations to Plaintiffs. Defendants engaged in this conduct with full knowledge that Plaintiffs are located in California and that the effects of Plaintiffs' actions would be felt primarily within California.
- 14. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants were fully aware of the confidentiality obligations binding the participants, cast, and crew members of the Bachelor Series when they sought to induced them to divulge non-public information about the show in breach of their contracts.
- 15. By way of example, in a November 17, 2011 email sent from steve@realitysteve.com to a participant in the Bachelor Series, Carbone wrote, "Let me just say, I'm very well aware of your contract. I also know that over 500 contestants have been on this show and not one of them has ever been sued for the \$5 million. It's just a scare tactic. Trust me. Just like they had no idea you sent me that last email, they'll have no idea about any correspondence either. I know you're

CALDWELL LESLIE &

- scared and a little paranoid by it, but don't be. Unless they are hacking your email or tapping your phone, there's absolutely no way for them to find out."
- 16. In the same email, Carbone went on to offer the participant monetary incentive to induce her to breach her contract with Plaintiffs. He wrote, "Since you are a student, and I know you have loans up the ying-yang, I'd be willing to compensate you. . . . I swear, this is the easiest money you'd ever make and you and I are the only two people that would know." A day later, Carbone emailed the participant and wrote: "\$2500 to help me out. Not joking. Some of this stuff is driving me nuts ha ha."
- 17. In another email dated November 2, 2011 to a former contestant on the Bachelor Series, Carbone wrote, "I'm aware you were eliminated at the SF rose ceremony, so I probably actually know more about what's happened since you left than you do, however, I do have some blanks that need to be filled in during the early episodes. I'm aware of your contract, but absolutely no one would know of our conversing."
- 18. In a message sent via Facebook, Carbone reached out to yet another contestant, and wrote, "I'm well aware of your contract and the producers have probably struck the fear of God into you to never speak w me, but I'm here to help you. Just looking for a couple details filled in, since I'm sure you're aware, plenty of info is already out there that I've spilled. Could really use your help, and I'd be willing to compensate you for it."
- Bachelor Series participants and employees to breach their contracts with Plaintiffs. On August 12, 2011, Plaintiffs' counsel sent a letter to Carbone, formally notifying him that all participants, cast, crew and employees of the Bachelor Series are subject to contractual prohibitions against disclosing confidential information acquired in the course of their participation or employment. On November 22, 2011, Plaintiffs' counsel wrote again to Carbone, informing him that Plaintiffs have become aware of

.23 .24

28
CALDWELL
LESLIE &

his intent to post additional confidential information on RealitySteve.com and advising him that Plaintiffs would pursue legal action against him to protect their sizeable financial investment in the Bachelor Series and to satisfy their obligation to ABC to provide quality television programming which depends, in large part, on the pre-broadcast confidentiality of the contents of each episode.

- 20. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants continue to seek confidential information from participants, cast, crew and other employees of the Bachelor Series and, in the course of doing so, continue to solicit and induce participants and employees of the Bachelor Series to breach their contractual obligations to Plaintiffs.
- 21. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants have publicly disclosed and posted confidential, non-public information that they obtained from participants, cast, crew and/or other employees of the Bachelor Series in violation of their contracts.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations) (Against All Defendants)

- 22. Plaintiffs restate and incorporate by reference their allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. All former and current participants, cast, crew and employees of the Bachelor Series execute written contracts with Plaintiffs that include confidentiality provisions prohibiting the disclosure of non-public information about events that transpire in episodes of the Bachelor Series prior to their broadcast.
- 24. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that at all relevant times, Defendants were aware that all participants, cast, crew and employees of the Bachelor Series execute contracts with Plaintiffs that include confidentiality provisions.

- 25. Nonetheless, Defendants have contacted current and former participants, cast, crew and employees and of the Bachelor Series and asked them to provide confidential, non-public information regarding events that transpired in episodes of the Bachelor Series prior to their broadcasts, by, among other things, sending emails and Facebook messages to current and former Bachelor Series participants, assuring participants that they need not be concerned about violating their contracts with Plaintiffs as "absolutely no one would know" of their conversations and offering monetary compensation as inducement for participants to provide confidential information in breach of their contracts with Plaintiffs.
- 26. Despite Plaintiffs' repeated requests, Defendants have continued to induce participants, cast, crew members and/or other employees of the Bachelor Series to breach their confidentiality obligations to Plaintiffs.
- 27. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, various participant, cast, crew members and/or other employees have breached their confidentiality obligations to Plaintiffs by providing Defendants with confidential, non-public information regarding the Bachelor Series episodes, which Defendants have publicly disclosed and posted on the website at www.realitysteve.com. As a result of this conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in an amount greater than \$75,000.
- 28. The aforementioned acts of Defendants, and each of them, were willful, oppressive, fraudulent, and malicious. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to punitive damages.
- 29. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that
 Defendants intend to continue interfering with Plaintiffs' contracts with participants,
 cast, crew members and other employees of the Bachelor Series in order to obtain
 and publicly disclose confidential information regarding events that transpire on the
 Bachelor Series episodes prior to their broadcast. Defendants' continuing
 interference with Plaintiffs' contracts with participants, cast, crew and other
 employees of the Bachelor Series would cause Plaintiffs great and irreparable injury,

for which damages would not afford adequate relief, in that they would not adequately compensate for the injury to Plaintiffs' reputation and goodwill, including their reputation and goodwill with ABC and other television show distributors who must rely on Plaintiffs to ensure that their shows' contents are not "spoiled" by pre-broadcast disclosure.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Competition – Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.) (Against All Defendants)

- 30. Plaintiffs restate and incorporate by reference their allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. Plaintiffs have suffered an injury in fact as a result of the conduct of each and every Defendant, as alleged herein.
- 32. The acts of Defendants complained of in each of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, constitute unfair and illegal business acts, practices and/or omissions in violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200.
- 33. The acts of Defendants are unfair in violation of § 17200 in that they are unscrupulous, immoral, unethical, oppressive and injurious to Plaintiffs.
- 34. The acts of Defendants are illegal in violation of § 17200 in that they violate the common laws against interference with contractual relations, and in that the acts allow for unjust enrichment of Defendants.
- Defendants intend to continue the aforementioned unfair and illegal conduct in order to obtain and publicly disclose confidential information regarding events that transpire on the Bachelor Series episodes prior to their broadcast. Defendants' continuing interference with Plaintiffs' contracts with participants and employees of the Bachelor Series would cause Plaintiffs great and irreparable injury, for which damages would not afford adequate relief, in that they would not adequately compensate for the injury to Plaintiffs' reputation and goodwill, including their

COMPLAINT

25 26 27 28 CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiffs NZK Productions, Inc. and Horizon Alternative Television, Inc. hereby demand trial by jury in this action. DATED: December / , 2011 Respectfully submitted, CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC LINDA M. BURROW TINA WONG Attorneys for Plaintiffs NZK PRODUCTIONS .12 INC. and HORIZON ALTERNATIVE TELEVISION INC. CALDWELL LESLIE &

COMPLAINT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District	t Judge George King and the assigned discovery
Magistrate Judge is Charles Eick.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 10118 GHK (Ex)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discove	All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge					

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division
	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
	Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2:11-cv-10118-GHK-E Document 1 File	ed 12/06/11 Page 12 of 14 Page ID #:12
CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC LINDA M. BURROW, State Bar No. 194668 burrow@caldwell-leslie.com 1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600 Los Angeles, CA 90017-2463 Tel.: (213) 629-9040; Fax: (213) 629-9022	
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
NZK PRODUCTIONS INC., a California corporation, and HORIZON ALTERNATIVE TELEVISION INC.,	CASE NUMBER
a Delaware corporation, PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV11 10118 SHEEX
STEPHEN CARBONE, an individual, REALITY STEVE, LLC, a Texas limited liability corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
TO: DEFENDANT(S): A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached or counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Lir Caldwell Leslie & Proctor, PC, 1000 Wilshire Blvd., Su judgment by default will be entered against you for the reyour answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer and M. Burrow, whose address is site 600, Los Angeles, CA 90017. If you fail to do so,
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
DEC - 6 2011	By: MERAL DAVIS Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
CV-01A (10/11 SUMM	10NS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

NZIE PRODUCTIONS INC., a California corporation, and HORIZON ALTERNATIVE TELEVISION INC., a Delaware carporation (b) Automays (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) (c) Automays (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) (c) Automays (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) (c) Los Angeles, CA 90017-246. (d) BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) (d) Los Angeles, CA 90017-246. (d) Elicities of Subject of a Foreign Country (Place an X in one box only.) (e) Los Angeles, CA 90017-246. (f) Uses any X in one box only.) (f) Overrament Plaintiff] Foderal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) (f) Oversity (Indicate Chizzoship of Partices in Internal) (f) Oversity (Indicate Chizzoship of Partices in Indicate Internal Indicat	I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check bo	x if you are representing yourself	······································	DEFENDANTS			
Value State Stat	NZK PRODUCTIONS I	NC., a California corporation, an	d HORIZON	STEPHEN CARBONE, an individual, REALITY STEVE, LLC, a Texas limited			
Class Action Clas	yourself, provide same.) CALDWELL LESLIE & 1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90017-	PROCTOR, PC Suite 600 2463 Tel.: (213)	629-9040		TIFS - For Diversity Case	as Only	
OF Parties in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country 3 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6 6		Government Not a Party	(Place an	X in one box for plaintiff and of PTI state 1 1	one for defendant.) DEF I Incorporated or of Business in the	PTF DEF Principal Place M 4 □ 4 his State	
Original	Annah selikak ada di T	of Parties in Item III)			of Business in A	Another State	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations; Unfair Competition, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17200, et seq. VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.) 400 State Reapportionment 110 Insurance 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 130 Airplane 131 Miller Act 130 Airplane 131 Miller Act 131 Miller Act 131 Miller Act 131 Miller Product Liability 1340 Deportation 135 Recovery of Deportation 136 Stockholders' Suits 138 Steptions (Statiutory Actions 139 Eorgannic Statiutory Actions 139 Eorgannic Statiutory Actions 139 Eorgannic Statiutory Actions 130 Eorganic Statiutory 130 Eorganic	Original 2 Remove State Co	ed from 3 Remanded from ourt Appellate Court	Reopened		Dis Liti	trict Judge from	
400 State Reapportionment 110 Insurance 120 Marine 1	CLASS ACTION under F.R.C. VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite Intentional Interference with	P. 23: Yes No the U.S. Civil Statute under whi h Contractual Relations; Unfair	ich you are filing and w	MONEY DEMANDED IN C	OMPLAINT: § At least Do not cite jurisdictional s	····	
1:1/1/1/1/1/2	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of	□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ **Example Persist** □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability	□ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Productiability □ 320 Assault, Libel of Slander □ 330 Fed. Employers □ Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Productiability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 7360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury □ 363 Personal Injury □ 364 Asbestos Personal Injury □ 365 Personal Injury □ 366 Asbestos Personal Injury □ 367 Personal Injury □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury □ 369 Asbestos Personal Injury □ 361 Asbestos Personal Injury □ 362 Naturalization □ 363 Asbestos Personal Injury □ 464 Asbestos Personal Injury □ 465 Asbestos Personal Injury □ 465 Naturalization □ 463 Habeas Corpus □ 465 Other Immigrat	RERIGIAL REPORT	Semilifications Particular Particular	□ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations □ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act ■ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark ■ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS-Third Party 26	

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CY-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIH(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	this action been prev	riously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? ▼No □ Yes		
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	any cases been previ	iously filed in this court that are related to the present case? Mo 🗆 Yes		
□ B. C □ C. F	rise from the same of all for determination or other reasons wo	and the present case: or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or uld entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or ent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.		
IX. VENUE: (When completing the f	ollowing informatio	n, use an additional sheet if necessary.)		
(a) List the County in this District; C ☐ Check here if the government, its	alifornia County our agencies or employ	tside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. ees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).		
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles				
(b) List the County in this District; C □ Check here if the government, its	alifornia County ou agencies or employ	tside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.		
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California, or Foreign Country		
		Texas		
(c) List the County in this District; C Note: In land condemnation ca	alifornia County ou	tside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.		
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles				
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernard	lino, Riverside, Ver	ntura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties		
Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER) Date December 6, 2011				
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The	CV-71 (JS-44) Civ	il Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings id by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed f statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)		
Key to Statistical codes relating to Soc	cial Security Cases:			
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action		
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		